MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (X) Yes () No		
Requestor First Street Surgical Center	MDR Tracking No.: M4-04-3447-01		
411 First St.	TWCC No.:		
Bellaire, TX 77401	Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent Dallas Fire Insurance Co.	Date of Injury:		
Rep. Box # 17	Employer's Name: AMS Staff Leasing NA Inc.		
	Insurance Carrier's No.: A20616		

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		- CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	Ci i Couc(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
	26440 (X4)	\$11300.00	\$2503.79	
3-18-03	3-18-03	26597	\$3257.00	\$0.00 IC paid (\$1118.00)
		Total Amount Due		\$2503.79

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

This claim has been refilled 2 times. Carriers is paying @ in-patient fee guidelines. Why do we have rules if people aren't going to follow? This is unfair and definitely not reasonable.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

The requestor has been paid for the services provided to the claimant per the surgical per diem rate for the State of Texas.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

Based upon the TWCC60 the requestor is seeking dispute resolution for 26597 (X2) and 26440 (X3). The UB-92 and EOB indicates that only one 26597 was billed and code 26440 was billed (X4). Therefore, there is no proof that carrier ever audited 26597 X 2. The MDR will review services that were submitted and reviewed by carrier prior to seeking dispute resolution.

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

Claimant underwent modified contracture release of right wrist area flexor aspect, tenosynovectomy of right wrist lexor aspect, tenolysis of flexor pollicis longus, flexor profundus tendon of right index, middle finger, ring and little finger, flexor sublimes tendon of right index and middle finger, right ring finger, and right little finger, tenolysis flexor carpi radialis, reconstruction of scapholunate ligament, and application of splint.

The insurance carrier paid \$1118.00 for 26597.

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it appears that neither party has provided convincing documentation that sufficiently discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that their purported amount is a fair and reasonable reimbursement (Rule 133.307). After reviewing the services, the charges, and both parties' positions, it is clearly evident that some other amount represents the fair and reasonable reimbursement.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 192.6% to 256.3% of Medicare for 2003). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review and considering the similarity of the various procedures involved in this surgery, staff selected a reimbursement amount in the lower end of the Ingenix range. In addition,26597 is not recognized by Ingenix and was reimbursed fair and reasonable by carrier. Reimbursement for the secondary procedures were reduced by 50% consistent with standard reimbursement approaches. The total amount was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the recommended amount, discussed the facts of the individual case, and selected the appropriate "fair and reasonable" amount to be ordered in the final decision.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable procedures, and the consensus of other experienced staff members in Medical Review, we find that the fair and reasonable reimbursement for CPT code 26440 (X4) in the amount for these services is \$2503.79. Since the insurance carrier paid a total of \$0.00 for these services, the health care provider is entitled to an additional reimbursement in the amount of \$2503.79.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$2503.79. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order

Ordered by:		
	Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA	July 6, 2005
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on _______. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION				
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.				
Signature of Insurance Carrier:	Date:			